1	Kevin S. Sinclair, Esq., Nevada Bar No. 12277		
2	ksinclair@earlysullivan.com Sophia S. Lau, Esq., Nevada Bar No. 13365		
3	slau@earlysullivan.com EARLY SULLIVAN WRIGHT		
4	GIZER & McRAE LLP 8716 Spanish Ridge Avenue, Suite 105		
5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89148 Telephone: (702) 331-7593		
6	Facsimile: (702) 331-1652		
7	Attorneys for Defendant FIDELITY NATIONAL TITLE INSURANCE	COMPANY	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
10	U.S. BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION,	Case No.: 2:19-cv-00809-GMN-BNW	
11	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER TO STAY CASE PENDING APPEAL	
12	vs.		
13	FIDELITY NATIONAL TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY,		
14 15	Defendant.		
16	Plaintiff U.S. Bank, National Association, Successor Trustee to Bank of America, N.A., as		
17	Successor Trustee to LaSalle Bank, N.A, as Trustee for the Holders of the First Franklin		
18	Mortgage Loan Trust, Mortgage Loan Asset-Backed Certificates, Series 2007-FF1 ("Bank") and		
19	defendant Fidelity National Title Insurance Company ("Insurer") (collectively, the "Parties"), by		
20	and through their undersigned counsel, stipulate and agree as follows, subject to the approval of		
21	the District Court:		
22	WHEREAS, there are now currently per	nding in the United States District Court for the	
23	District of Nevada more than three dozen actions between national banks, on the one hand, and		
24	their title insurers, on the other hand (the "Actions");		
25	WHEREAS, each of the Actions involve	es a title insurance coverage dispute wherein the	

national bank contends, and the title insurer disputes, that a title insurance claim involving an HOA assessment lien and subsequent sale was covered by a policy of title insurance;

WHEREAS, in virtually all of these Actions, the title insurer underwrote an ALTA 1992



26

27

3

1

loan policy of title insurance with form 1 coverage, along with the CLTA 100/ALTA 9
Endorsement and either the CLTA 115.1/ALTA 4 Endorsement or the CLTA 115.2/ALTA 5
Endorsement (the "Form Policy");

WHEREAS, each of the Actions implicates common questions of interpretation of the Form Policy;

WHEREAS, the national bank in one of these actions has now appealed a judgment of dismissal to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Fidelity National Title Ins. Co., Ninth Cir. Case No. 19-17332 (District Court Case No. 3:19-cv-00241-MMD-WGC) (the "Wells Fargo II Appeal");

WHEREAS, the Parties anticipate that the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals' decision in the Wells Fargo II Appeal will likely touch upon issues regarding the interpretation of the Form Policy and the reasonableness of the insurer's denial, that could potentially affect the disposition of the other Actions, including the instant action;

WHEREAS, Insurer previously moved to stay the instant action pending the disposition of the Wells Fargo II Appeal (the "Motion to Stay");

WHEREAS both of the Parties intend that the instant Stipulation is to withdraw and replace the previously filed Motion to Stay;

WHEREAS both of the Parties agree that it is appropriate and desirous to stay the instant action pending the disposition of the Wells Fargo II Appeal, that a stay of the instant action will not prejudice either of the Parties, and that a stay of the instant action will best serve the interests of judicial economy (given the possibility that the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals' decision on the Wells Fargo II Appeal might affect the disposition of this case);

NOW THEREFORE, the Parties, by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

- 1. The instant action shall immediately be **STAYED**, pending the disposition of the Wells Fargo II Appeal.
- 2. The scheduling order previously entered in this action is hereby **VACATED**.
- 3. Each of the Parties shall be excused from responding to any now-outstanding



1 2 3 4 5	4. Any now-pending deadlines to file	the other until after the stay is lifted. e responses to, or replies in support of, any	
3		e responses to, or replies in support of, any	
4	outstanding motions are hereby V .		
		outstanding motions are hereby VACATED.	
5	5. By entering into this stipulation, neither of the Parties is waiving its right to		
	subsequently move the Court for an order lifting the stay in this action.		
6	2 4100 4110 20 41 411 611 100 100 20 20 20	EARLY SULLIVAN WRIGHT GIZER & McRAE LLP	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	By Kan No. 17 Dated this 25th day of November 2019 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants' Motion to Stay Case, (ECF No. 17), and Motion to Dismiss, (ECF No. 10), are DISMISSED as moot.	/s/Kevin S. Sinclair y:evin S. Sinclair, Esq. evada Bar No. 12277 ophia S. Lau, Esq. evada Bar No. 13365 '16 Spanish Ridge Avenue, Suite 105 as Vegas, Nevada 89148 ettorneys for Defendant FIDELITY NATIONAL TLE INSURANCE COMPANY WRIGHT, FINLAY & ZAK, LLP /s/Lindsay D. Robbins	
20 21	parties shall file a joint status report every ninety days, beginning on March 9, 2020, addressing the status of the Wells Fargo II Appeal.	ttorneys for Plaintiff U.S. BANK, NATIONAL SSOCIATION, SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE TO BANK F AMERICA, N.A., AS SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE D LASALLE BANK, N.A, AS TRUSTEE FOR THE OLDERS OF THE FIRST FRANKLIN	
22 23 24	Dated this 13 day of December, 2019. AS FI	ORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, MORTGAGE LOAN SSET-BACKED CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2007- F1	
24 25 26	Gloria M. Navarro, District Judge UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		

